

# Spectral projections of boundary problems and the regularity of the eta function

Heiko Gimperlein and Elmar Schrohe

## 1 Introduction

Consider a differential boundary problem  $(A, B)$  of order  $m > 0$  on the  $L^2$ -sections of a vector bundle  $E$  over a manifold with boundary  $X$ , and let  $A_B$  the realization of  $A$  on  $L^2(X, E)$  with domain  $\mathcal{D}(A_B) = \{u \in H^m(X, E) : Bu = 0\}$ . We assume that  $(A - \lambda, B)$  is parameter-elliptic for  $\lambda$  in two sectors around  $\mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\vartheta}$  resp.  $\mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\varphi}$  and that the spectrum is disjoint from these rays. Then  $\|(\lambda - A_B)^{-1}\| \lesssim |\lambda|^{-1}$  along these rays, and we may consider the zeta functions  $\zeta_{\vartheta}(z, A, B) = \text{Tr} (A_B)_{\vartheta}^{-z}$ ,  $\zeta_{\varphi}(z, A, B) = \text{Tr} (A_B)_{\varphi}^{-z}$  associated with the spectral cuts  $\vartheta, \varphi$ . They extend to meromorphic functions on  $\mathbb{C}$  and are regular in  $z = 0$ . If  $A_B$  is selfadjoint, the eta function  $\eta(z, A, B) = \text{Tr} A_B |A_B|^{-z-1}$  similarly admits a meromorphic continuation. Both  $\text{Res}_{z=0} \eta(z, A, B)$  and  $\zeta_{\vartheta}(0, A, B) - \zeta_{\varphi}(0, A, B)$  for  $\vartheta \neq \varphi$  are given as an explicit integral involving the symbols of  $A$  and  $B$ , but even on closed manifolds their vanishing is a subtle topological theorem of Atiyah/Patodi/Singer [1], Gilkey [6] and Wodzicki [11]. In particular, the eta invariant  $\eta(0)$  of an operator on a closed manifold, which appears as a spectral boundary term in topological index formulas, is finite. Here we establish the corresponding result for manifolds with boundary:

**Theorem 1.1.** *Under the above assumptions,  $\zeta_{\vartheta}(0, A, B) = \zeta_{\varphi}(0, A, B)$ .*

**Theorem 1.2.** *If  $A_B$  is selfadjoint, the eta function  $\eta(z, A, B)$  is regular in  $z = 0$ .*

For the proof, we construct a “minimal” Fréchet algebra  $\mathfrak{A}$  of general 0-order boundary problems not necessarily satisfying the transmission condition. It is inspired by and a subalgebra of Rempel–Schulze’s  $LF$  algebra [8], but admits a symbol calculus very similar to Boutet de Monvel’s algebra  $\mathfrak{B}$  [2] including a normal trace  $\text{tr}_n$  and a noncommutative residue  $\text{res}$ . The key observation is that  $\mathfrak{A}$  contains the sectorial projections  $\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B)$  associated to  $A_B$ . In particular,  $\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B)$  belongs to Rempel–Schulze’s algebra, verifying a conjecture of Ivrii and, independently, by Gaarde and Grubb.

As  $\text{Res}_{z=0} \eta(z, A, B) = \frac{i}{\pi} \left( \zeta_{\frac{\pi}{2}}(0, A, B) - \zeta_{-\frac{\pi}{2}}(0, A, B) \right)$  and

$$\zeta_{\vartheta}(0, A, B) - \zeta_{\varphi}(0, A, B) = \frac{2\pi i}{m} \text{res}(\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B)),$$

our results follow from an analogue of Wodzicki’s [11] vanishing theorem:

**Theorem 1.3.**  *$\text{res}$  vanishes on projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$ .*

## 2 An algebra of boundary problems

Let  $X$  be a manifold with boundary and  $n = \dim X > 1$ . For convenience, we will assume that all changes of coordinates preserve the normal coordinate on the collar  $\partial X \times [0, 1)$ , and we will write these local coordinates as  $(y, t)$ . We also employ the usual notation for operator-valued pseudodifferential operators.

**Definition 2.1.** Denote by  $\ell_\infty^1$  the space of all bounded operators  $T$  on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  such that for all  $k, l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ , the operators  $[t]^k (t\partial_t)^l T$  and  $T [t]^k (t\partial_t)^k$  are densely defined and extend to trace class operators on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ . Here,  $t \mapsto [t]$  denotes a strictly positive smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $[t] = |t|$  for  $|t| \geq 1$ .

**Definition 2.2.** (a)  $\mathfrak{R}$  is the space of all operators  $R$  on  $L^2(X)$  that can be written with a  $C^\infty$  integral kernel on  $X^\circ \times X^\circ$  such that for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}_0$  and each cut-off function in a neighborhood of the boundary,  $\sigma$ , the operators  $\sigma (t\partial_t)^k R$ ,  $R (t\partial_t)^k \sigma$ , are trace class on  $L^2(X)$ .

(b)  $\mathfrak{G}$  is the span of all operators  $G$  of the form  $G = \sigma_1 H \sigma_2 + R$  where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are cut-off functions in a neighborhood of the boundary,  $H$  is a pseudodifferential operator in  $\Psi_{cl}^0(\partial X; \ell_\infty^1)$ , and  $R \in \mathfrak{R}$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** For every  $G \in \mathfrak{G}$ , the  $L^2$ -trace  $\text{tr}_n G$  in the normal direction defines an element of  $\Psi_{cl}^0(\partial X)$ .

**Definition 2.4.** (a) The Mellin transform  $\mathcal{M}u$  of a function  $u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}_+)$  is given by

$$(\mathcal{M}u)(z) = \int_0^\infty t^{z-1} u(t) dt.$$

$\mathcal{M}$  extends to an isomorphism  $\mathcal{M} : L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \rightarrow L^2(\{z \in \mathbb{C} : \Re z = \frac{1}{2}\})$ .

(b)  $\mathcal{T}$  is the space of all functions in  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$  whose Mellin transform belongs to the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\{\Re z = \frac{1}{2}\})$ .

**Definition 2.5.** (a) Given a cut-off function  $\omega$ , we define the Mellin operator  $M_{\omega, \mu}$  with Mellin symbol  $\mu \in C_b^\infty(\mathbb{R}^q, \mathcal{T})$  and cut-off function  $\omega$  on  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^q \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  by

$$M_{\omega, \mu} u(y, t) = \int \int_0^\infty e^{iy\eta} \omega(t[\eta]) \mu(y, t/s) (\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta} u)(\eta, s) \frac{ds}{s} d\eta.$$

Here  $\mathcal{F}_{y \rightarrow \eta}$  denotes the partial Fourier transform with respect to the  $y$ -variables.

(b) We denote by  $\mathfrak{M}$  the set of all operators on  $\partial X \times \mathbb{R}_+$  of the form  $M = \sigma_1 \widetilde{M} \sigma_2$ , where  $\sigma_1$  and  $\sigma_2$  are cut-off functions and, in local coordinates,  $\widetilde{M}$  is a Mellin operator with a Mellin symbol  $\mu$  and a cut-off function  $\sigma$ .

The vector spaces  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{G}$  are endowed with the natural Fréchet topologies.

**Definition 2.6.** We define

$$\mathfrak{A} = \{A_+ + M + G : A \in \Psi_{cl}^0(2X), M \in \mathfrak{M}, G \in \mathfrak{G}\}$$

and endow it with the topology of a non-direct sum of Fréchet spaces.

**Theorem 2.7.**  $\mathfrak{A}$  is a Fréchet algebra.

*Remark 2.8.* The construction extends to give a Fréchet algebra of operators, also denoted by  $\mathfrak{A}$ , acting on the  $L^2$ -sections of a vector bundle  $E$  over  $X$ .

The crucial differences between  $\mathfrak{A}$  and related algebras are the following: We replace the usual  $LF$  space of functions with holomorphic Mellin transform in some strip around  $\{\Re z = \frac{1}{2}\}$  by the Fréchet space  $\mathcal{T}$ , and use  $\ell_\infty^1$  instead of Hilbert–Schmidt operators to have a normal trace. This adds a number of nontrivial computations to the proof that  $\mathfrak{A}$  is an algebra. Furthermore,  $\mathfrak{M}$  only includes very special conormally smoothing Mellin operators.

### 3 Properties of $\mathfrak{A}$ and Wodzicki’s theorem

**Theorem 3.1.** (Properties of  $\mathfrak{A}$ )

- (a) Operators in  $\mathfrak{A}$  have natural mapping properties in a scale of logarithmically weighted conormal Sobolev spaces modelled on

$$\mathcal{H}_{\log}^{s,k}(\mathbb{R}_+) = \{u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) : t \mapsto [\log(t)]^k (t\partial_t)^j u(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+) \forall j \leq s\}.$$

- (b) As a subalgebra,  $\mathfrak{A}$  inherits interior principal and boundary symbol maps as well as a notion of ellipticity from Rempel–Schulze’s algebra.
- (c) Elliptic operators admit a parametrix. Conversely, the Fredholm property implies ellipticity.
- (d)  $\mathfrak{A}$  is spectrally invariant, the set  $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}$  of invertible elements is open, and inversion is continuous on  $\mathfrak{A}^{-1}$ . Therefore  $K(\mathfrak{A}) = K(\overline{\mathfrak{A}})$ .
- (e) Similar to an argument in Rempel–Schulze’s paper, projections in  $\mathfrak{A}$  may be deformed to satisfy the transmission condition, whence  $K(\mathfrak{A}) = K(\mathfrak{B})$ .

**Definition 3.2.** For  $P = A_+ + M + G \in \mathfrak{A}$ , define the noncommutative residue

$$\text{res } P = \int_{S^*X^\circ} \text{Tr } \sigma_{2X}(A)_{-n}(x, \xi) \, d\sigma(\xi) dx + \int_{S^*\partial X} \text{Tr } \sigma_{\partial X}(\text{tr}_n G)_{1-n}(x', \xi') \, d\sigma(\xi') dx'.$$

Here,  $\text{Tr}$  denotes the pointwise trace in the fibre  $\text{End}(E_x, E_x)$ .

*Remark 3.3.* The residue is well-defined because  $\mathfrak{M} \cap \mathfrak{G} \subset \Psi^0(\ell_\infty^1)$  consists of operators whose normal trace is 0-homogeneous up to a smoothing remainder and is therefore contained in the kernel of  $\text{res}$ . This residue extends the residue from Boutet de Monvel’s algebra to  $\mathfrak{A}$ .

**Theorem 3.4.** For all  $P, Q \in \mathfrak{A}$ ,  $\text{res}([P, Q]) = 0$ .

The proof is a lengthy computation replacing the complex analytic/Wiener–Hopf arguments in [3] by distribution theory.

**Corollary 3.5.** *The residue  $\text{res}$  induces a map  $\overline{\text{res}} : K(\overline{\mathfrak{A}}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ .*

As  $K(\overline{\mathfrak{A}}) = K(\mathfrak{A}) = K(\mathfrak{B})$  and  $\overline{\text{res}}$  is compatible with the residue on  $\mathfrak{B}$ , it is sufficient to show  $\overline{\text{res}} = 0$  for projections in Boutet de Monvel’s algebra. By a theorem of Melo, Nest and Schrohe [7], such a K–class decomposes into a compactly supported class in the interior and the class of an endomorphism in a neighborhood of the boundary. As the latter is homogeneous of order 0, its residue vanishes. The compactly supported class, however, extends to  $2X$  where we may apply Wodzicki’s theorem. In particular, (a stronger variant for  $\overline{\mathfrak{A}}$  of) Theorem 1.3 follows.

## 4 Spectral projections

For a differential boundary problem  $(A, B)$  of order  $m > 0$ , such that  $(A - \lambda, B)$  is parameter–elliptic in two sectors around  $\mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\vartheta}$  resp.  $\mathbb{R}_+ e^{i\varphi}$  and no eigenvalues lie on these rays, we define the sectorial projection

$$\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B) = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{\vartheta\varphi}} \lambda^{-1} A_B (\lambda - A_B)^{-1} d\lambda,$$

where  $\Gamma_{\vartheta\varphi} = \{r e^{i\varphi} : r \in (r_0, \infty)\} \cup \{r_0 e^{i\omega} : \varphi \geq \omega \geq \vartheta\} \cup \{r e^{i\vartheta} : r \in (r_0, \infty)\}$ . Here,  $r_0$  should be smaller than the smallest absolute value of the nonzero eigenvalues in the sector between  $\varphi$  and  $\vartheta$ .

We note the following consequence of Seeley’s work [9], which is basically contained in Gaarde and Grubb’s analysis [5]:

**Proposition 4.1.**  $\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B) = \Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A)_+ + G_{\vartheta\varphi}^0 + \tilde{G}_{\vartheta\varphi}$ , where  $\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A) \in \Psi_{cl}^0(2X)$  and  $\tilde{G}_{\vartheta\varphi} \in \mathfrak{G}$  admits an expansion into terms whose kernel is quasihomogeneous of order  $\leq -1$ .  $G_{\vartheta\varphi}^0$  has a quasihomogeneous kernel of order 0 and is given by  $G_{\vartheta\varphi}^0 = \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{\Gamma_{\vartheta\varphi}} G_\lambda^0 d\lambda$  as an integral over the leading quasihomogeneous term  $G_\lambda^0$  of the singular Green part of  $(\lambda - A_B)^{-1}$ .

Our analysis of the leading term  $G_{\vartheta\varphi}^0$  implies:

**Theorem 4.2.**  $G_{\vartheta\varphi}^0 \in \mathfrak{M} + \mathfrak{G}$  and  $\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B) \in \mathfrak{A}$ .

To convey the idea of the proof, we provide the key computation which extracts the Mellin part from  $G_{\vartheta\varphi}^0$  for scalar problems up to an error in  $\Psi_{cl}^0(\partial X, \ell^2(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)))$ .

This only shows that  $\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B)$  belongs to Rempel–Schulze’s algebra, but by investing a bit more and quite similar work to verify its mapping properties, the Hilbert–Schmidt term  $\ell^2(L^2(\mathbb{R}_+))$  may be improved to  $\ell_\infty^1$ .

For simplicity, we only consider the integral  $\int_{\Gamma_{\vartheta\varphi}} G_\lambda^0 d\lambda$  along one ray  $\{r e^{i\varphi} : r \in (r_0, \infty)\} \subset \Gamma_{\vartheta\varphi}$  and choose  $\varphi = 0$ . The explicit representation for  $G_\lambda^0$ , which we are going to use, is due to Seeley [9]. First note that for fixed  $\eta$  and large  $\lambda$ , the scalar equation  $\sigma(A)(y, 0, \eta, \tau) - \lambda = 0$  for  $\tau$  has simple roots  $i\kappa_k^+(\eta, \lambda)$  resp.  $-i\kappa_l^-(\eta, \lambda)$  in the upper resp. lower half–planes.

In the following, we are going to keep  $\eta$  fixed. Performing some straight-forward contour integrals in Seeley's formulas, for large  $\lambda$  the integral kernel of  $G_\lambda^0(\eta, t, s)$  in the normal variables  $s, t \in \mathbb{R}_+$  turns out to be a sum of terms of the form

$$\frac{e^{-\kappa_k^+(\eta, \lambda)t - \kappa_l^-(\eta, \lambda)s}}{\sigma_{kl}(\eta, \lambda)} \tilde{\sigma}_{kl}(\eta, \lambda),$$

where  $\sigma_{kl}, \tilde{\sigma}_{kl}$  are homogeneous functions in  $(\eta, \lambda^{1/m})$  of degrees  $m-1$  and  $0$  (obviously, we might as well set  $\tilde{\sigma}_{kl} = 1$  in our situation). The crucial idea is to approximate this kernel by its asymptotic behavior for large  $\lambda$ . Homogeneity gives

$$\begin{aligned} \kappa_k^\pm(\eta, \lambda) &= \lambda^{1/m} \kappa_k^\pm(0, +1) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{1/m-\varepsilon}), \\ \sigma_{kl}(\eta, \lambda) &= \lambda^{(m-1)/m} \sigma_{kl}(0, +1) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{(m-1)/m-\varepsilon}), \\ \tilde{\sigma}_{kl}(\eta, \lambda) &= \tilde{\sigma}_{kl}(0, +1) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-\varepsilon}) \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Setting  $K_k^\pm = \kappa_k^\pm(0, +1)$ ,  $\Sigma_{kl} = \sigma_{kl}(0, 1)$ , and  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{kl} = \tilde{\sigma}_{kl}(0, 1)$ , we define

$$M_{kl}^R(t, s) = \int_R^\infty \frac{e^{-\lambda^{1/m}(K_k^+ t + K_l^- s)}}{\Sigma_{kl} \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{kl} d\lambda$$

for sufficiently large  $R > 0$ . That  $M_{kl}^R$  actually exists, is a consequence of the ellipticity assumption.

We estimate the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the error

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \int_{r_0}^\infty G_\lambda^0(\eta, t, s) d\lambda - \sum_{k,l} M_{kl}^R(t, s) \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)} \leq \left\| \int_{r_0}^R G_\lambda^0(\eta, t, s) d\lambda \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)} + \\ & \sum_{k,l} \left\| \int_R^\infty \left\{ \frac{e^{-\kappa_k^+(\eta, \lambda)t - \kappa_l^-(\eta, \lambda)s}}{\sigma(\eta, \lambda)} \tilde{\sigma}(\eta, \lambda) - \frac{e^{-\lambda^{1/m}(K_k^+ t + K_l^- s)}}{\Sigma_{kl} \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{kl} \right\} d\lambda \right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}_+)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using the triangle inequality, each term in the sum is dominated by

$$\int_R^\infty \left( \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \left( \frac{e^{-\kappa_k^+(\eta, \lambda)t - \kappa_l^-(\eta, \lambda)s}}{\sigma(\eta, \lambda)} \tilde{\sigma}(\eta, \lambda) - \frac{e^{-\lambda^{1/m}(K_k^+ t + K_l^- s)}}{\Sigma_{kl} \lambda^{(m-1)/m}} \tilde{\Sigma}_{kl} \right)^2 dt ds \right)^{1/2} d\lambda,$$

and a second straight forward computation shows the finiteness of this integral. Hence,  $\int_{r_0}^\infty G_\lambda^0(\eta, t, s) d\lambda - \sum_{k,l} M_{kl}^R(t, s)$  is the kernel of a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ .

On the other hand,  $M_{kl}^R$  may be evaluated explicitly:

$$M_{kl}^R(t, s) = \frac{m \tilde{\Sigma}_{kl}}{\Sigma_{kl}} \frac{e^{-R^{1/m}(K_k^+ t + K_l^- s)}}{K_k^+ t + K_l^- s}.$$

Up to another Hilbert-Schmidt operator on  $L^2(\mathbb{R}_+)$ ,  $M_{kl}^R$  is thus a Mellin operator with symbol  $\mu_{kl}(\tau) = \frac{m \tilde{\Sigma}_{kl}}{\Sigma_{kl}} \frac{1}{K_k^+ \tau + K_l^-}$ , which is independent of  $R$ .

As mentioned above, investigating the mapping properties of  $\int_{r_0}^{\infty} G_{\lambda}^0(\eta, t, s) d\lambda - \sum_{k,l} M_{kl}^R$  gives the stronger assertion of Theorem 4.2. The method also extends to the nonscalar case, where the Mellin symbols turn out to be more complicated rational functions.

The relation between  $\zeta_{\vartheta}(0, A, B) - \zeta_{\varphi}(0, A, B)$  and  $\text{res}(\Pi_{\vartheta\varphi}(A, B))$  mentioned in the introduction is now deduced from the explicit formulas in Seeley's work [10]. Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 then follow from Theorems 4.2 and 1.3.

## References

- [1] M. Atiyah, V. K. Patodi, I. M. Singer, *Spectral Asymmetry and Riemannian Geometry III*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **79** (1976), 71–99.
- [2] L. Boutet de Monvel, *Boundary Problems for Pseudodifferential Operators*, Acta Math. **126** (1971), 11–51.
- [3] B. V. Fedosov, F. Gölse, E. Leichtnam, E. Schrohe, *The Noncommutative Residue for Manifolds with Boundary*, J. Funct. Anal. **142** (1996), 1–31.
- [4] A. Gaarde, *Noncommutative Residue of Projections in Boutet de Monvel's Calculus*, to appear in J. Noncommut. Geometry (2009).
- [5] A. Gaarde, G. Grubb, *Logarithms and Sectorial Projections for Elliptic Boundary Problems*, Math. Scand. **103** (2008), 243–277.
- [6] P. Gilkey, *The Residue of the Global  $\eta$  Function at the Origin*, Adv. Math. **40** (1981), 290–307.
- [7] S. T. Melo, R. Nest, E. Schrohe,  *$C^*$ -Structure and  $K$ -Theory of Boutet de Monvel's Algebra*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **561** (2003), 145–175.
- [8] S. Rempel, B.-W. Schulze, *Parametrices and Boundary Symbolic Calculus for Elliptic Boundary Problems without the Transmission Property*, Math. Nachr. **105** (1982) 45–149.
- [9] R. Seeley, *The Resolvent of an Elliptic Boundary Problem*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 889–920.
- [10] R. Seeley, *Analytic Extension of the Trace Associated with Elliptic Boundary Problems*, Amer. J. Math. **91** (1969), 963–983.
- [11] M. Wodzicki, *Local Invariants of Spectral Asymmetry*, Invent. Math. **75** (1984), 142–177.