

Lecture 5

Abstract Framework for F.E.M.

①

Quadratic Minimization Problem:

Find u : $\mathcal{E}(v) \geq \mathcal{E}(u)$, $\forall v$ on a Hilbert space H .

Where $\mathcal{E}(v) = \frac{1}{2} a(v, v) - f(v)$

(1st) $a: H \times H \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, bilinear form: $a(u+v, w) = a(u, w) + a(v, w)$
 $a(u, v+w) = a(u, v) + a(u, w)$.

(2nd) a is continuous, namely: $a(u, v) \leq C \|u\| \|v\|$

(3rd) a is coercive, namely for $a(u, u) \geq c \|u\|^2$, c

Continuity: $a(u, v) \leq C \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$

$$\int_{\Omega} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v \, dx \leq \|\nabla u\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \leq \|u\|_{H^1} \|v\|_{H^1}$$

Coercive: $a(u, u) \geq \alpha \|u\|_{H^1}^2$?

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u)^2 \, dx$$

$$\leq \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\} \left(\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 + \int_{\Omega} u^2 \right)$$

$$= k \|u\|_{H^1}^2 \quad \text{where } k = \min\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{\alpha}{2}\right\}$$

In our setting $(f, v)_{L^2} =: f(v)$

(2)

Is continuous since, $(f, v)_{L^2} \leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{L^2}$

$$\leq \|f\|_{L^2} \|v\|_{H^1} \quad \text{i.e. } f(v) \leq C \|v\|_{H^1}$$

Gradient test \Rightarrow Minimization problem \Rightarrow weak formulation:

Find $u \in H$ such that $\frac{1}{2} \{a(u, v) + a(v, u)\} = f(v), \forall v \in H$.

Which makes sense whether a is symmetric or not.

Existence of a minimizers by Lax-Milgram theorem.

~~If existence of minimizer~~

(a) If a is a bilinear form, which is continuous and coercive, and f is linear and continuous, then the weak form admits a unique solution.

(b) If a is symmetric, then the minimization problem admits a unique solution.

We can apply this to two problems.

$$\textcircled{1} \left. \begin{aligned} H = H_0^1(\Omega) &: \text{the pde } -\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ &u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega. \end{aligned} \right\}$$

admits a unique solution (weak)

② $H = H_h$: the FEM. produces a unique solution.

In fact, for $\textcircled{2}$ one can also argue that, from coercivity the matrix A is positive definite.

Two Problems: PDE weak form

$$\text{Find } u \in H : a(u, v) = f(v), \forall v \in H$$

FEM: discretized weak form: choose $H_h \subseteq H$.

$$\text{Find } u_h \in H_h : a(u_h, v_h) = f(v_h), \forall v_h \in H_h.$$

Cea's Lemma: $\|u - u_h\|_H \leq \frac{C}{\alpha} \inf_{v_h \in H_h} \|u - v_h\|_H$

from continuity (pointing to the right-hand side)
from coercivity (pointing to the denominator)

Proof: $a(u, v) = f(v), \forall v \in H$
 $a(u_h, v_h) = f(v_h), \forall v_h \in H_h$

$$a(u - u_h, v_h) = 0 \quad (\text{Galerkin's orthogonality})$$

Coercivity: $\alpha \|u - u_h\|_H^2 \leq a(u - u_h, u - u_h) = a(u - u_h, u) + a(u - u_h, v_h - u_h)$

Continuity: $\leq C \|u - v_h\|_H \|u - u_h\|_H$

~~The Laplace equation corresponds to a~~

Consider the problem: $-\partial_x(\tilde{\alpha}(x)\partial_x u) = f$ in S

Dirichlet: The solution u is a solution of the minimization problem. Find $u \in H_0^1(\Omega) : E(u) \leq E(v), \forall v \in H_0^1$

$$\text{where } E(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \tilde{\alpha}(x) |\partial_x v|^2 - \int_{\Omega} f v dx$$

Here $a = (u, v) = \int \tilde{a} (\partial_x u | \partial_x v) dx$

Proof is the same as for $\tilde{a} = 1$; $E(u+h) - E(u)$



n-dimensional case: \tilde{a}_{ij} sufficiently smooth (a_{ij} positive and symmetric)

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} -\vec{\nabla} \cdot (\bar{A} \nabla u) = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right\} \bar{A} \text{ is symmetric and positive definite}$$

$u \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ which minimizes $E(v) = \frac{1}{2} \int (\bar{A} \nabla u \cdot \nabla v) - \int f v$ over $v \in H_0^1(\Omega)$

Coercivity of bilinear form, follows from Poincaré Friedrichs inequality.

Proof of Star Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Note that } \sum_{i=1}^k a_i (p_i - p_0) &= \sum_{i=0}^k a_i (p_i - p_0) \\ &= \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k a_i p_i}_{\leq 0} - p_0 \underbrace{\sum_{i=0}^k a_i}_{\neq 0} \leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, $a_i < 0$ for all i and $p_i \leq p_0 \rightarrow a_i (p_i - p_0) \neq 0 \forall i=1, \dots, k : a_i < 0 \rightarrow p_i < p_0$ unless $p_i = p_0$.