

# Diffun2, Fredholm Operators

Camilla Frantzen

June 8, 2012

$H_1$  and  $H_2$  denote Hilbert spaces in the following.

**Definition 1.** A Fredholm operator is an operator  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  such that  $\ker T$  and  $\text{coker}T := H_2/\text{im}T$  are finite dimensional. The dimension of the cokernel is called the codimension, and it is denoted  $\text{codim}T$ .

Fredholm operators can also be studied on Banach spaces as well as on more general spaces, but here we will concentrate on Hilbert spaces.

**Lemma 2.** *If  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  is a Fredholm operator, then  $\text{im}T$  is closed.*

*Proof.* Let  $\tilde{T}$  denote the restriction of  $T$  to  $(\ker T)^\perp$ .  $\tilde{T}$  is clearly bounded, and it is not hard to see that it is a Fredholm operator. Since  $T$  is a Fredholm operator, we can assume that  $\text{codim}T = n$ . Let  $S : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow H_2$  be a linear mapping onto the complement of  $\text{im}T$  in  $H_2$ , and define  $T_1 : (\ker T)^\perp \oplus \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow H_2$  by  $T_1(x, y) = \tilde{T}x + Sy$ .  $T_1$  is bijective and continuous. By the closed graph theorem, the inverse of  $T_1$  is bounded and hence continuous. Hence  $\text{im}T = T_1((\ker T)^\perp \oplus \{0\})$  is closed.  $\square$

**Definition 3.** Let  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be a Fredholm operator. Then we define its index by

$$\text{index}T = \dim \ker T - \dim \text{coker}T = \dim \ker T - \text{codim}T$$

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be bijective, and let  $K \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be compact. Then  $T + K$  is a Fredholm operator.*

Before proving this theorem, we recall that a compact operator maps any bounded sequence into a sequence which has a convergent subsequence.

*Proof.*  $\ker(T + K)$  is a Hilbert space, and in particular it is a linear space, so for  $x \in \ker(T + K)$  we have  $Tx = -Kx$ . Let  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \ker(T + K)$  be a bounded sequence. Since  $K$  is a compact operator, the sequence  $(Kx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  has a convergent subsequence,  $(Kx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ . But  $x_{n_k} \in \ker(T + K)$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and thus

$(Kx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}} = (-Tx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ , which tells us that  $(x_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  is convergent, since  $T^{-1}$  is bounded. Hence any bounded sequence in  $\ker(T+K)$  has a convergent subsequence, which means that  $\dim \ker(T+K) < \infty$ , since an infinite dimensional Hilbert space has an infinite orthonormal sequence with no convergent subsequences.

We know that  $H_2 = \overline{\text{im}(T+K)} \oplus \ker(T^*+K^*)$ , and since  $T^*$  is invertible and  $K^*$  is compact, we get by the above that  $\dim \ker(T^*+K^*) < \infty$ . This means that we only have to check that  $\text{im}(T+K)$  is closed in order to see that  $\text{codim}(T+K) < \infty$ . To see this we split  $H_1$  into the direct sum  $H_1 = \tilde{H}_1 \oplus \ker(T+K)$ , and we consider the restriction of  $T+K$  to  $\tilde{H}_1$ . We want to show that for all  $x \in \tilde{H}_1$  the inequality

$$\|x\| \leq c\|(T+K)x\| \quad (*)$$

holds for some  $c > 0$ . In order to show this inequality, we assume that for all  $c > 0$  there exists  $x \in \tilde{H}_1$  such that  $\|x\| \geq c\|(T+K)x\|$ . Then there exist sequences  $(c_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq (0, \infty)$ ,  $(x_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subseteq \tilde{H}_1$  such that  $\|x_n\| = 1$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $c_n \rightarrow \infty$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , and  $1 = \|x_n\| \geq c_n\|(T+K)x_n\|$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Hence  $\|(T+K)x_n\| \leq \frac{1}{c_n} \rightarrow 0$  for  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $K$  is compact, and  $x_n$  has norm 1 for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , so there exists a subsequence  $(Kx_{n_k})_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $(Kx_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which is convergent; assume  $Kx_{n_k} \rightarrow v \in H_2$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . This means  $Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow -v \in H_2$  for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus

$$x_{n_k} = T^{-1}Tx_{n_k} \rightarrow w = -T^{-1}v$$

for  $k \rightarrow \infty$ , where  $w \in \tilde{H}_1$  with  $\|w\| = 1$ , since  $\|x_{n_k}\| = 1$  for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . But

$$(T+K)w = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (Tx_{n_k} + Kx_{n_k}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} 0 = 0$$

contradicting  $\tilde{H}_1 \perp \ker(T+K)$ , and the claim follows, which means that we can now conclude that  $\text{im}(T+K)$  is closed.  $\square$

Note that when  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  is a Fredholm operator, then  $T^*$  will also be a Fredholm operator, for it can be shown that  $\text{im}T^*$  is closed if and only if  $\text{im}T$  is closed, which we know is the case, and so it follows that

$$\text{index}T^* = \dim \ker T^* - \dim \ker T^{**} = \dim \ker T^* - \dim \ker T = -\text{index}T$$

since  $H_2 = \overline{\text{im}T} \oplus \ker T^*$  implies that  $\text{codim}T = \dim \ker T^*$ .

**Theorem 5.**  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  is Fredholm if and only if there exist  $S_1, S_2 \in B(H_2, H_1)$  and operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  which are compact on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively such that  $S_1T = I + K_1$  and  $TS_2 = I + K_2$ .

*Proof.* First assume that  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  is a Fredholm operator.  $T$  defines a bijective operator  $\tilde{T} : \tilde{H}_1 \rightarrow \tilde{H}_2$ , where  $\tilde{H}_1 = (\ker T)^\perp$  and  $\tilde{H}_2 = \text{im} T = (\ker T^*)^\perp$ . Define  $S_2 \in B(H_2, H_1)$  by  $S_2 = \iota_{\tilde{H}_1}(\tilde{T})^{-1} \text{pr}_{\text{im} T}$ . Then

$$TS_2 = T \iota_{\tilde{H}_1}(\tilde{T})^{-1} \text{pr}_{\text{im} T} = \text{pr}_{\text{im} T} = \text{pr}_{\tilde{H}_2} = I - \text{pr}_{\ker T^*}$$

Put  $K_2 = -\text{pr}_{\ker T^*}$ , and one of the equations follows, since  $K_2$  is a finite rank operator and therefore compact.

Since  $T^*$  is a Fredholm operator it follows in the same way that there exist operators  $S_3, K_3$  with the required properties such that  $T^*S_3 = I + K_3$ . Using  $S_3^*$  and  $K_3^*$  as  $S_1$  and  $K_1$  respectively yields the other equation:

$$S_1T = S_3^*T = (T^*S_3)^* = (I + K_3)^* = I + K_3^* = I + K_1$$

Assume now that there exist operators  $S_1, S_2 \in B(H_2, H_1)$  and operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  which are compact on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively such that  $S_1T = I + K_1$  and  $TS_2 = I + K_2$ . We have the inclusions

$$\begin{aligned} \ker T &\subseteq \ker S_1T = \ker(I + K_1) \\ \text{im} T &\supseteq \text{im} TS_2 = \text{im}(I + K_2) \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 4,  $I + K_1$  and  $I + K_2$  are Fredholm operators, and by the first inclusion above we conclude that  $\dim \ker T \leq \dim \ker(I + K_1) < \infty$ . By the second inclusion we conclude that  $\text{codim} T \leq \text{codim}(I + K_2) < \infty$ . Hence  $T$  is a Fredholm operator.  $\square$

Next we will look at some properties of Fredholm operators, but first we need a definition and a lemma:

**Definition 6.** Let  $V_0, \dots, V_n$  be vector spaces, and let  $T_j : V_j \rightarrow V_{j+1}$ ,  $0 \leq j \leq n-1$ , be linear mappings. Then the sequence

$$V_0 \xrightarrow{T_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{T_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{T_{n-2}} V_{n-1} \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}} V_n$$

is called exact if  $\text{im} T_j = \ker T_{j+1}$ ,  $j = 0, \dots, n-2$ .

**Lemma 7.** *Let*

$$V_0 = 0 \xrightarrow{T_0} V_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} V_2 \xrightarrow{T_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}} V_{n-1} \xrightarrow{T_{n-1}} 0 = V_n$$

*be an exact sequence with  $\dim V_j < \infty$  for all  $j = 0, \dots, n$ . Then*

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \dim V_j = 0$$

*Proof.* For each  $j$ , we decompose  $V_j = N_j \oplus Y_j$ , where  $N_j = \ker T_j$ , and  $Y_j$  is some complement of  $N_j$ . The exactness of the sequence implies that  $T_j : Y_j \rightarrow N_{j+1}$  is an isomorphism for each  $j$ . Hence  $\dim V_j = \dim N_{j+1}$ , which means that for  $j \in \{0, \dots, n-1\}$ ,

$$\dim V_j = \dim N_j + \dim Y_j = \dim N_j + \dim N_{j+1}$$

We also have that  $\dim N_0 = 0$ , and  $\dim V_{n-1} = \dim N_{n-1}$ . An easy calculation yields

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \dim V_j = 0$$

□

**Theorem 8** (Multiplicative property of the index). *If we are given two Fredholm operators  $T_1 \in B(H_1, H_2)$  and  $T_2 \in B(H_2, H_3)$ , then  $T_2 T_1 \in B(H_1, H_3)$  is also a Fredholm operator, and it satisfies  $\text{index} T_2 T_1 = \text{index} T_1 + \text{index} T_2$ .*

*Proof.* To see that  $T_2 T_1$  is a Fredholm operator, one can show that  $\dim \ker T_2 T_1 \leq \dim \ker T_1 + \dim \ker T_2 < \infty$  as well as  $\text{codim} T_2 T_1 \leq \text{codim} T_1 + \text{codim} T_2 < \infty$ . Hence  $T_2 T_1$  is a Fredholm operator. To obtain the formula for the index, consider the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \ker T_1 \xrightarrow{\iota} \ker T_2 T_1 \xrightarrow{T_1} \ker T_2 \xrightarrow{q} H_2/\text{im} T_1 \xrightarrow{T_2} H_3/\text{im} T_2 T_1 \xrightarrow{E} H_3/\text{im} T_2 \rightarrow 0$$

where  $\iota : \ker T_1 \hookrightarrow \ker T_2 T_1$  denotes the inclusion,  $q : H_2 \supseteq \ker T_2 \rightarrow H_2/\text{im} T_1$  is the quotient map, and  $E$  maps equivalence classes modulo  $\text{im} T_2 T_1$  into equivalence classes modulo  $\text{im} T_2$ . Lemma 7 yields

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\dim \ker T_1 + \dim \ker T_2 T_1 - \dim \ker T_2 + \dim H_2/\text{im} T_1 - \dim H_3(\text{im} T_2 T_1) + \dim H_3/\text{im} T_2 \\ &= -\text{index} T_1 - \text{index} T_2 + \text{index} T_2 T_1 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Theorem 9** (Invariance of Fredholm property and index under small perturbations). *Let  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be a Fredholm operator. Then there exists a constant  $c > 0$  such that for all operators  $S \in B(H_1, H_2)$  with norm  $< c$ ,  $T + S$  is a Fredholm operator which satisfies  $\text{index}(T + S) = \text{index} T$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $R$  be such that  $RT = I - \text{pr}_{\ker T}$ . Then

$$R(T + S) = RT + RS = I - \text{pr}_{\ker T} + RS$$

For  $\|S\| < \|R\|^{-1}$  we have that  $\|RS\| < 1$ . Hence  $I + RS$  is invertible. In the same way,  $T + S$  has a right Fredholm inverse, so by Theorem 5 we conclude that  $T + S$  is a Fredholm operator.

When  $F$  is a finite rank operator on a Hilbert space  $H$ ,  $\text{index}(I + F) = 0$ , for define  $L := \text{im}F + (\ker F)^\perp$  with  $\dim L < \infty$ . Then  $L \oplus L^\perp = H$ , and we see that  $(I + F)L \subseteq L + FL \subseteq L$  and  $(I + F)|_{L^\perp} = I_{L^\perp}$ , so  $L$  and  $L^\perp$  are invariant under  $I + F$ , and we have that

$$\text{index}(I + F) = \text{index}((I + F)|_L) + \underbrace{\text{index}((I + F)|_{L^\perp})}_{=0}$$

Since  $\dim L < \infty$ , linear algebra yields  $\text{index}((I + F)|_L) = 0$ , since for any matrix  $A$ ,  $\dim L = \dim \ker A + \dim \text{im}A$ .

Theorem 8 tells us that  $\text{index}(I - \text{pr}_{\ker T}) = \text{index}RT = \text{index}R + \text{index}T$  from which we obtain the formula for the index:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{index}T &= -\text{index}R + \underbrace{\text{index}(I - \text{pr}_{\ker T})}_{=0} \\ &= -\text{index}((I + RS)^{-1}R) + \underbrace{\text{index}(I - (I - RS)^{-1}\text{pr}_{\ker T})}_{=0} \\ &= \text{index}(T + S) \end{aligned}$$

Where we used that  $-\text{pr}_{\ker T}$  as well as  $-(I - RS)^{-1}\text{pr}_{\ker T}$  are a finite rank operators.  $\square$

**Theorem 10** (Invariance of Fredholm property and index under compact perturbations). *Let  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be a Fredholm operator. Then for any compact operator  $S \in B(H_1, H_2)$ ,  $T + S$  is a Fredholm operator, and  $\text{index}(T + S) = \text{index}T$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $T \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be a Fredholm operator, and let  $S \in B(H_1, H_2)$  be a compact operator. Then by Theorem 5 there exist  $S_1, S_2 \in B(H_2, H_1)$  and operators  $K_1$  and  $K_2$  which are compact on  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  respectively such that  $S_1T = I + K_1$  and  $TS_2 = I + K_2$ . We see that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1(T + S) &= S_1T + S_1S = I + K_1 + S_1S = I + K'_1 \\ (T + S)S_2 &= TS_2 + SS_2 = I + K_2 + SS_2 = I + K'_2 \end{aligned}$$

where  $K'_1$  and  $K'_2$  are compact operators. By Theorem 5 we conclude that  $T + S$  is a Fredholm operator.

$I + K_1$  has index 0 according to the proof of Theorem 9, so by Theorem 8,

$$0 = \text{index}(I + K_1) = \text{index}(S_1T) = \text{index}S_1 + \text{index}T$$

This tells us that  $\text{index}S_1 = -\text{index}T$ . Since  $K'_1$  is also a finite rank operator,  $\text{index}(I + K'_1) = 0$  so that

$$0 = \text{index}(S_1(T + S)) = \text{index}S_1 + \text{index}(T + S) = -\text{index}T + \text{index}(T + S)$$

Hence  $\text{index}(T + S) = \text{index}T$ . □

The Fredholm property can also be attached to unbounded operators. Let  $T : D(T) \rightarrow H_2$  be a closed operator with domain  $D(T) \subseteq H_1$ . Then  $T$  will be bounded as an operator on  $D(T)$ , which is a Hilbert space when equipped with the graph norm  $\|u\|_{\text{graph}} = (\|u\|_{H_1}^2 + \|Tu\|_{H_2}^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ . In this case,  $T$  is said to be a Fredholm operator when its kernel and cokernel are finite dimensional, and one defines the index in the exact same way as before. It can be shown that the image of  $T$  is still closed in  $H_2$ , and that Theorems 8-10 still hold when  $D(T)$  is equipped with the graph norm.