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#### Abstract

Аbstract. We shed new light on Heisenberg's uncertainty principle in the sense of Beurling, by offering an essentially different proof which allows us to weaken the assumptions substantially. The new formulation is essentially optimal, as can be seen from examples. The proof involves Fourier and Mellin transforms. We also introduce a version which applies to two given functions. In addition, we show how our method applies in the higher dimensional setting.


## 1. Introduction

1.1. Heisenberg's uncertainty principle. In general terms, Heisenberg's uncertainty principle asserts that a function and its Fourier transform cannot both be too concentrated. See, e.g., the book of Havin and Jöricke [6]. For a recent development connected with partial differential equations, see [7], [3]. As for notation, we will write

$$
\hat{f}(y):=\lim _{T \rightarrow+\infty} \int_{-T}^{T} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi y t} f(t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad y \in \mathbb{R},
$$

for the Fourier transform of the function $f$, whenever the limit exists. For $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ the integral converges absolutely, and $\hat{f}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ with limit 0 at infinity (the Riemann-Lebesgue lemma); writing $C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ for the Banach space of all such functions, we are merely saying that $\hat{f} \in \mathrm{C}_{0}(\mathbb{R})$ whenever $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$.
1.2. Beurling's version of the uncertainty principle. Building on work of Hardy [5], Beurling (see [1], p. 372) found a version of Heisenberg's uniqueness principle which is attractive for its simplicity and beauty. It reads as follows.

Theorem 1.1. (Beurling) If $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x) \hat{f}(y)| \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi|x y|} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y<+\infty \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $f=0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$.
Trivially, $1 \leq \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi|x y|}$, so that if $f \in L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ meets (1.1), then we must also have that

$$
\|f\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}\|\hat{f}\|_{L^{1}(\mathbb{R})}=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x) \hat{f}(y)| \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y<+\infty
$$

We see that the assumption (1.1) presupposes that $f$ and $\hat{f}$ are both in $L^{1}(\mathbb{R})$. As a result, $f$ is in the space $L^{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cap C_{0}(\mathbb{R})$, which is contained in $L^{p}(\mathbb{R})$ for all $p$ with $1 \leq p \leq+\infty$. The statement in [1], p. 372, was made without proof. Then in 1991 it turned out that Hörmander had retained a copy of Beurling's original proof. Hörmander writes in [8], p. 237: "The editors state that no proof has been preserved. However, in my files I have notes which I made when Arne

[^0]Beurling explained this result to me during a private conversation some time during the years 1964-1968 when we were colleagues at the Institute for Advanced Study."

Here, we will find a way to reduce the assumption (1.1) of Theorem 1.1 while maintaining the conclusion that $f=0$ a.e.
1.3. Statement of the generalization of Beurling's theorem. Our analysis of Beurling's theorem (Theorem 1.1) is based on the observation that under (1.1), the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x) \hat{f}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda x y} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines a bounded holomorphic function in the strip

$$
\mathcal{S}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\operatorname{Im} \lambda|<1\}
$$

which extends continuously to the closed strip $\overline{\mathcal{S}}$. Indeed, the complex exponentials $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda x y}$ are holomorphic in $\lambda$, and we have

$$
|F(\lambda)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x) \hat{f}(y)| \mathrm{e}^{-2 \pi x y \operatorname{Im} \lambda} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x) \hat{f}(y)| \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi|x y|} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y, \quad \lambda \in \overline{\mathcal{S}}
$$

from which the claim is immediate, by, e.g., uniform convergence. Next, in view of the Fourier inversion theorem,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \hat{f}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda x y} \mathrm{~d} y=f(\lambda x), \quad x, \lambda \in \mathbb{R}
$$

so the function $F(\lambda)$ given by (1.2) may be expressed in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x) f(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $F(\lambda)$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$since $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. Here, $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$is shorthand for $\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$. Moreover, let $\mathbb{D}:=\{\lambda \in \mathbb{C}:|\lambda|<1\}$ denote the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, and let $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ denote its closure (the closed unit disk). We let $\mathrm{d} A$ denote the area element in $\mathbb{C}$.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F(\lambda)$ be given by (1.3) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Suppose that $F(\lambda)$ has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(\lambda)|^{2}\left|\lambda^{2}+1\right| \mathrm{d} A(\lambda)<+\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then
(a) $F(\lambda) \equiv c_{0}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ for some constant $c_{0} \geq 0$, and
(b) if, in addition, we have $\inf _{\mathbb{D}}|F(\lambda)|^{2}\left|1+\lambda^{2}\right|=0$, then $F(\lambda) \equiv 0$, and consequently $f=0$ a.e.

In comparison with Theorem 1.1, Theorem 1.2 assumes analytic continuation of $F(\lambda)$ to a much smaller set, and the a priori assumption that $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ is weaker. Also, in Beurling's setting, the weighted square integrability condition (1.4) is trivially fulfilled because the function $F(\lambda)$ is then bounded on the strip $\mathcal{S}$, which also shows that $\inf _{\mathbb{D}}|F(\lambda)|^{2}\left|1+\lambda^{2}\right|=0$. Heading (b) then gives that $f=0$ a.e.
1.4. Applications of the Mellin transforms. To see what the heading (a) of Theorem 1.2 means for the function $f$, we introduce the Mellin transforms $\mathbf{M}_{0}$ and $\mathbf{M}_{1}$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{x}}|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} \tau} f(x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{1}[f](\tau):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\times}}|x|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} \tau} \operatorname{sgn}(x) f(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(x)=x /|x|$. When the above integrals fail to be absolutely convergent, they should be understood as the limits of integrals over the set $\epsilon<|x|<1 / \epsilon$, as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^{+}$. The $L^{2}$ theory for the Mellin transform is analogous to that of the Fourier transform (the Mellin transform is associated with the multiplicative structure, while the Fourier transform is related with the additive structure). We remark that the multiplicative group $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$is isomorphic to the additive group $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. We see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} \tau}\{f(x)+f(-x)\} \mathrm{d} x=2 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi t \tau}\left\{f\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi t}\right)+f\left(-\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi t}\right)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\pi t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{M}_{1}[f](\tau)=\int_{\mathbb{R}_{+}} x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} \tau}\{f(x)-f(-x)\} \mathrm{d} x=2 \pi \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi t \tau}\left\{f\left(\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi t}\right)-f\left(-\mathrm{e}^{2 \pi t}\right)\right\} \mathrm{e}^{\pi t} \mathrm{~d} t \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which explains how the well-known $L^{2}$ theory for the Fourier transform carries over to the Mellin transforms. Here, $\left.\mathbb{R}_{+}:=\right] 0,+\infty$ [ is the positive semi-axis. In particular, the Plancherel identity reads as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\{\left|\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau)\right|^{2}+\left|\mathbf{M}_{1}[f](\tau)\right|^{2}\right\} \mathrm{d} \tau=\int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.3. Suppose $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F(\lambda)$ be given by (1.3) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Then $F(\lambda) \equiv c_{0}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ holds for some constant $c_{0} \geq 0$ if and only if $f$ is even (i.e., $f(-x)=f(x)$ holds a.e.), and

$$
\left|\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau)\right|=\frac{\sqrt{c_{0}}}{\pi^{1 / 4}}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{i}{2} \tau\right)\right|, \quad \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

Remark 1.4. To better appreciate how much weaker the assumptions of Theorem 1.2 are compared with those of Beurling's result, we may consider the assertion (a) of Theorem 1.2 combined with Theorem 1.3. We then know the modulus of the Mellin transform $\mathbf{M}_{0}[f]$, while it is clear from the $L^{2}$ theory of the Mellin transforms that the argument of $\mathbf{M}_{0}[f]$ may be an arbitrary measurable function. So we get plenty of functions $f$ which solve (1.3) for the given $F(\lambda)=c_{0}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$. One of these is of course the Gaussian $f(x)=c_{1} \mathrm{e}^{-\pi \alpha x^{2}}$, where $\alpha>0$ and $\left|c_{1}\right|^{2}=c_{0} \alpha^{1 / 2}$. This contrasts with the analogues of Beurling's theorem where the constant (or polynomial) multiples of a Gaussian $\mathrm{e}^{-\pi \alpha x^{2}}$ are the only solutions [2].
1.5. Analysis of the sharpness of the results. It is of interest to analyze the sharpness of Theorems 1.2 and 1.3. We look at the example

$$
f(x)=\mathrm{e}^{-\pi \beta x^{2}}
$$

where $\operatorname{Re} \beta>0$. Then $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and the associated function $F(\lambda)$ is

$$
F(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x) f(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x=\bar{\beta}^{-1 / 2}\left(1+\frac{\beta}{\bar{\beta}} \lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

This function $F(\lambda)$ is holomorphic in $\mathbb{D}$ but it possesses two square root branch points at the roots of $\lambda^{2}=-\bar{\beta} / \beta$. These roots lie on the unit circle $\mathbb{T}=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|=1\}$. This means that permitting just two such square root branch points along $\mathbb{T}$ in the formulation of Theorem 1.2 already falsifies the assertion of the theorem. Suppose $\mathcal{D} \subset \mathbb{D}$ is a proper convex subset, which is symmetric under reflexion in the origin $(\lambda \mapsto-\lambda)$. Then if, in the formulation of Theorem 1.2 , the unit disk $\mathbb{D}$ is replaced by $\mathcal{D}$, the conclusion of the theorem would fail.

## 2. A family of bilinear forms

2.1. The bilinear forms. Let us consider the bilinear forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}[f, g](\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(t) g(\lambda t) \mathrm{d} t, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $f, g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$. The function $\mathbf{B}[f, g]$ is then continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$. It has the symmetry property

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbf{B}[f, g](\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \mathbf{B}[g, f]\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

as we see by an elementary change of variables. It also enjoys the complex conjugation symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{B}[f, g]}(\lambda)=\mathbf{B}[\bar{f}, \bar{g}](\lambda), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.2. Relation to multiplicative convolution. It is well-known that the multiplicative convolution

$$
f_{1} \circledast f_{2}(x):=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{\times}} f_{1}(t) f_{2}\left(\frac{x}{t}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} t}{|t|}
$$

understood in the sense of Lebesgue, is commutative (i.e., $f_{1} \circledast f_{2}=f_{2} \circledast f_{1}$ ). The relationship with the above bilinear forms $\mathbf{B}[f, g](\lambda)$ is

$$
\mathbf{B}[f, g](\lambda)=g \circledast \tilde{f}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|} f \circledast \tilde{g}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}
$$

where

$$
\tilde{f}(t):=\frac{1}{|t|} f\left(\frac{1}{t}\right), \quad \tilde{g}(t):=\frac{1}{|t|} g\left(\frac{1}{t}\right) .
$$

## 3. The proofs of the first set of theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.2. A comparison of (1.3) and (2.1) reveals that $F(\lambda)=\mathbf{B}[\bar{f}, f](\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. In view of (2.2) and (2.3), $F(\lambda)$ has the symmetry property

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $J(\lambda)$ be the function

$$
J(\lambda):=\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}
$$

which defines a single-valued holomorphic function in the slit complex plane $\mathbb{C} \backslash i(\mathbb{R} \backslash]-1,1[)$ with value 1 at $\lambda=0$. Next, we consider the function $\Phi:=F J$, which is a well-defined and
continuous along $\mathbb{R}$, while it defines a holomorphic function in (a neighborhood of) $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm i\}$. Along the real line, we have, in view of (3.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Phi(\lambda)=F(\lambda) J(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|} J(\lambda) \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)=\frac{\sqrt{1+\lambda^{2}}}{|\lambda|} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)  \tag{3.2}\\
&=\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)=\bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)=\bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} .
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence, $\Phi$ is real-analytic on $\mathbb{R}$, and has two holomorphic extensions, one to (a neighborhood of) $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$, and the other to (a neighborhood of) $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{e} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$; here, $\overline{\mathbb{D}}_{e}:=\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{D}$ is the closed exterior disk. These two holomorphic continuations must then coincide. So, we see that $\Phi$ extends to a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{ \pm i\}$, which is bounded in a neighborhood of infinity, by inspection of (3.2). The integrability assumption of the theorem says that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|\Phi(\lambda)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} A(\lambda)<+\infty
$$

and the symmetry property (3.2) gives the corresponding integrability in the exterior disk $\mathbb{D}_{e}=\mathbb{C} \backslash \overline{\mathbb{D}}:$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}_{e}}|\Phi(\lambda)|^{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} A(\lambda)}{|\lambda|^{4}}<+\infty
$$

In particular, $\Phi$ is square area-integrable in a neighborhood of $\{ \pm i\}$. But then $\Phi$ extends holomorphically across $\pm \mathrm{i}$ (one explanation among many: a two-point set has logarithmic capacity 0 , see [4]). Now $\Phi$ is entire and bounded, so Liouville's theorem tells us that $\Phi$ is constant: $\Phi(\lambda) \equiv c_{0}$. That $c_{0} \geq 0$ follows from

$$
c_{0}=\Phi(1)=J(1) F(1)=\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x) f(x) \mathrm{d} x=\sqrt{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}}|f(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \geq 0
$$

This gives us the first assertion as well as the second. The proof is complete.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. We need to show that if

$$
F(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x) f(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x \equiv c_{0}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

on $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$, then the Mellin transform $\mathbf{M}_{0}[f]$ has the indicated form. By symmetry, we see that $\mathbf{M}_{1}[F](\tau) \equiv 0$, while a computation reveals that

$$
\mathbf{M}_{0}[F](\tau)=c_{0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{\times}}|\lambda|^{-\frac{1}{2}+\mathrm{i} \tau}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \lambda=\frac{c_{0}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{i}{2} \tau\right)\right|^{2}
$$

If we apply the Mellin transforms $\mathbf{M}_{0}, \mathbf{M}_{1}$ to (1.3), we find that $\mathbf{M}_{1}[f] \equiv 0$ and that

$$
\left|\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau)\right|^{2}=\mathbf{M}_{0}[F](\tau)
$$

Here, the natural way to verify the right-hand side equality is to apply the inverse Mellin transform to the two sides. The assertion that $\mathbf{M}_{1}[f] \equiv 0$ holds if and only if $f$ is an even function (cf. (1.8)). The proof is complete.

## 4. A generalization involving two functions

4.1. A problem involving two functions. We consider two functions $f, g \in L^{2} \mathbb{R}$ ), and introduce the functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}(x) g(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad F_{2}(\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{g}(x) f(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We quickly observe that if $f$ is even and $g$ is odd, then $F_{1}(\lambda) \equiv F_{2}(\lambda) \equiv 0$ on $\mathbb{R}^{\times}$. The same conclusion holds if $f$ is odd and $g$ is even. This means that we cannot hope to claim that one of the functions $f, g$ must vanish from the knowledge that $F_{1}(\lambda) \equiv F_{2}(\lambda) \equiv 0$. But sometimes this combination of even and odd is the only obstruction, as we shall see.

Theorem 4.1. Suppose $f, g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F_{j}(\lambda)$ be given by (1.3) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$and $j=1,2$. Suppose that both $F_{j}(\lambda)$ have a holomorphic extensions to $\mathbb{D}$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}\left|F_{j}(\lambda)\right|^{2}\left|\lambda^{2}+1\right| \mathrm{d} A(\lambda)<+\infty, \quad j=1,2 .
$$

Suppose, moreover, that one of the functions, say $F_{1}$, has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$. Then
(a) $F_{j}(\lambda) \equiv c_{j}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ for $j=1,2$, for some constants $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ with $c_{2}=\bar{c}_{1}$, and
(b) if in (a) we have $c_{1}=0$, then $F_{1}(\lambda) \equiv F_{2}(\lambda) \equiv 0$.

If we compare with Beurling's result, it is clear that if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}}(|f(x) \hat{g}(y)|+|g(x) \hat{f}(y)|) \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi|x y|} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y<+\infty \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

then we are in the setting of part (b) of Theorem 4.1.
4.2. Application of the Mellin transforms. The application of the Mellin transforms leads to the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Suppose $f, g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F_{1}(\lambda)$ be given by (4.1) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Then $F_{1}(\lambda) \equiv$ $c_{1}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ holds for some constant $c_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$ if and only if

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}_{1}[f](\tau)} \mathbf{M}_{1}[g](\tau)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } \tau \in \mathbb{R},
$$

and

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau)} \mathbf{M}_{0}[g](\tau)=\frac{c_{1}}{\sqrt{\pi}}\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{i}{2} \tau\right)\right|^{2}, \quad \text { a.e. } \tau \in \mathbb{R}
$$

The assertion of Theorem 4.2 gives a very precise answer as to what $f, g$ can be in the setting of Theorem 4.1. It may however at times be difficult to see what the conditions actually say when $f, g$ are explicitly given. So we will explain a couple of cases when we can be more precise. The support of a function $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ - written supp $f$ - is the intersection of all closed sets $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $f=0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R} \backslash E$. Let us agree to say that a function $f \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$ has dilationally one-sided support if (i) supp $f$ is bounded in $\mathbb{R}$, or if (ii) supp $f \subset \mathbb{R}^{\times}=\mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.

Theorem 4.3. Suppose $f, g \in L^{2}(\mathbb{R})$, and let $F_{1}(\lambda)$ be given by (4.1) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$, and suppose that $F_{1}(\lambda) \equiv 0$. If one of $f, g$ has dilationally one-sided support, say $f$ does, then either: (a) $f$ is even and $g$ is odd, (b) $f$ is odd and $g$ is even, or (c) $f=0$ a.e. or $g=0$ a.e.
4.3. A comparison with the Beurling-type condition (4.2). We compare the assumptions of Theorem 4.3 with the Beurling-type condition (4.2). Clearly (4.2) is a very strong assumption, as it actually forces $f=0$ a.e. or $g=0$ a.e. This can be shown by, e.g., a suitable modification of the argument in the Appendix in [2].

This suggests that if we strengthen the assumptions in Theorem 4.3 slightly, we should be able to rule out the alternatives (a)-(b). To this end, we consider the functions $f_{*}(x):=f(x) \operatorname{sgn}(x)$ and $g_{*}(x):=g(x) \operatorname{sgn}(x)$, and put

$$
F_{3}(\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}_{*}(x) \hat{g}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda x y} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{f}_{*}(x) g(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R},
$$

and

$$
F_{4}(\lambda):=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{g}_{*}(x) \hat{f}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda x y} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{\mathbb{R}} \bar{g}_{*}(x) f(\lambda x) \mathrm{d} x, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

If we were to assume (4.2), we would know that $F_{3}, F_{4}$ both extend holomorphically and boundedly to the $\operatorname{strip} \mathcal{S}$. We shall assume less, namely that both extend holomorphically to the open unit disk $\mathbb{D}$, are area- $L^{2}$ integrable on $\mathbb{D}$, and that one of them extends holomorphically to (a neighborhood of) $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm i\}$. It is easy to verify that

$$
F_{3}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{\lambda} \bar{F}_{4}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times},
$$

holds. So $F_{3}(\lambda)$ has a holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$ which is area- $L^{2}$ integrable near $\pm \mathrm{i}$ and vanishes at infinity. Then the singularities at $\pm \mathrm{i}$ are removable, and Liouville's theorem gives $F_{3}(\lambda) \equiv 0$. At the same time, we know that $F_{1}(\lambda) \equiv 0$ from Theorem 4.1, since we ask that $c_{1}=0$ as in Theorem 4.3. Theorem 4.2 and its proof tell us that for $j=0,1$,

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}_{j}[f](\tau)} \mathbf{M}_{j}[g](\tau)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } \tau \in \mathbb{R},
$$

and

$$
\overline{\mathbf{M}_{j}\left[f_{*}\right](\tau)} \mathbf{M}_{j}[g](\tau)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } \tau \in \mathbb{R} .
$$

Next, as in Theorem 4.3, we assume that $f$ or $g$ has dilationally one-sided support. Then Theorem 4.3 and its proof show that the only possibility is that $f=0$ a.e. or $g=0$ a.e.

## 5. Proofs of the theorems involving two functions

Proof of Theorem 4.1. A comparison of (1.3) and (2.1) reveals that $F_{1}(\lambda)=\mathbf{B}[\bar{f}, g](\lambda)$ and $F_{2}(\lambda)=$ $\mathbf{B}[\bar{g}, f](\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. In view of (2.2) and (2.3), $F_{j}(\lambda)$, for $j=1,2$, have the symmetry property

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{1}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|} \bar{F}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} . \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we put $\Phi_{j}:=F_{j} J$, where $J(\lambda)=\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ as before, then (5.1) says that

$$
\Phi_{1}(\lambda)=\bar{\Phi}_{2}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}
$$

The given assumptions on $F_{1}, F_{2}$ show that $\Phi_{1}$ has a holomorphic extension to $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{ \pm i\}$, which is area- $L^{2}$ integrable locally around $\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$. As a consequence, the singularities at $\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$ are removable (see, e.g., [4]), and Liouville's theorem tells us that $\Phi_{1}$ is constant. The remaining assertions are easy consequences of this.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The proof is immediate by taking the Mellin transforms, as in the proof of Theorem 1.3. We omit the details.

Proof of Theorem 4.3. In view of Theorem 4.2, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathbf{M}_{0}[f](\tau)} \mathbf{M}_{0}[g](\tau)=\overline{\mathbf{M}_{1}[f](\tau)} \mathbf{M}_{1}[g](\tau)=0, \quad \text { a.e. } \tau \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The assumption that $f$ has dilationally one-sided support means in terms of Mellin transforms that up to a complex exponential factor, the functions $\mathbf{M}_{j}[f], j=0,1$, both extend to a function in $H^{2}$ of either the upper or the lower half-plane. In any case, Privalov's theorem guarantees that for a given $j \in\{0,1\}$, either $\mathbf{M}_{j}[f]=0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$, or $\mathbf{M}_{j}[f] \neq 0$ a.e. on $\mathbb{R}$. This leaves us with four distinct possibilities.

CASE $1 . \mathbf{M}_{0}[f]=0$ a.e.and $\mathbf{M}_{1}[f]=0$ a.e.. Then $f=0$ a.e.is immediate, so we find ourselves in the setting of (c).

CASE 2. $\mathbf{M}_{0}[f]=0$ a.e. and $\mathbf{M}_{1}[f] \neq 0$ a.e. Then (5.2) gives that $\mathbf{M}_{1}[g]=0$ a.e., so that $f$ is odd and $g$ is even (cf. (1.7)-(1.8)), and we are in the setting of (b).

CASE 3. $\mathbf{M}_{0}[f] \neq 0$ a.e. and $\mathbf{M}_{1}[f]=0$ a.e. Then (5.2) gives that $\mathbf{M}_{0}[g]=0$ a.e., and we conclude that $f$ is even and $g$ is odd (cf. (1.7)-(1.8)), and we are in the setting of (a).

CASE 4. $\mathbf{M}_{0}[f] \neq 0$ a.e. and $\mathbf{M}_{1}[f] \neq 0$ a.e. Then (5.2) shows that $\mathbf{M}_{0}[g]=\mathbf{M}_{1}[g]=0$ a.e., so that $g=0$ a.e., and we are in the setting of (c).

## 6. A higher dimensional analogue

6.1. The bilinear form in higher dimensions. We present an analogue of Theorem 1.2 for $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n=1,2,3, \ldots ; \operatorname{dvol}_{n}$ is volume measure in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, let $F(\lambda)$ be the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{f}(x) f(\lambda x) \operatorname{dvol}_{n}(x), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This function arises if we write

$$
F(\lambda)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{f}(x) \hat{f}(y) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi \lambda\langle x, y\rangle} \operatorname{dvol}_{n}(x) \operatorname{dvol}_{n}(y)
$$

where

$$
\hat{f}(y)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} 2 \pi\langle x, y\rangle} \operatorname{dvol}_{n}(x)
$$

is the usual Fourier transform. Here,

$$
\langle x, y\rangle=x_{1} y_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} y_{n}, \quad x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right), \quad y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right),
$$

is the usual inner product in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
6.2. The higher-dimensional extension of the generalized Beurling theorem. We can now formulate the extension of Theorem 1.2 to the setting of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Theorem 6.1. Suppose $f \in L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, and let $F(\lambda)$ be given by (6.1) for $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times}$. Suppose that $F(\lambda)$ has a holomorphic extension to a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$, such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{D}}|F(\lambda)|^{2}\left|\lambda^{2}+1\right|^{n} \mathrm{~d} A(\lambda)<+\infty
$$

Then
(a) $F(\lambda) \equiv c_{0}\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{-n / 2}$ for some constant $c_{0} \geq 0$, and
(b) if, in addition, we have $\inf _{\mathbb{D}}|F(\lambda)|^{2}\left|1+\lambda^{2}\right|^{n}=0$, then $F(\lambda) \equiv 0$, and consequently $f=0$ a.e.

## 7. Proof of the higher dimensional analogue

Proof of Theorem 6.1. We indicate what differs from the case $n=1$, which is covered by the proof of Theorem 1.2. An exercise involving a change of variables shows that $F(\lambda)$ has the symmetry property

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n}} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} . \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $J_{n}(\lambda)$ be the function

$$
J_{n}(\lambda):=\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{n / 2}
$$

Next, we consider the function $\Phi:=F J_{n}$, which is a well-defined and continuous along $\mathbb{R}$, while it defines a holomorphic function in (a neighborhood of) $\overline{\mathbb{D}} \backslash\{ \pm i\}$. Along the real line, we have, in view of (7.1),

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi(\lambda)=F(\lambda) J_{n}(\lambda)=\frac{1}{|\lambda|^{n}} J_{n}(\lambda) \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)= & \frac{\left(1+\lambda^{2}\right)^{n / 2}}{|\lambda|^{n}} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)  \tag{7.2}\\
& =\left(1+\frac{1}{\lambda^{2}}\right)^{n / 2} \bar{F}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)=\bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)=\bar{\Phi}\left(\frac{1}{\bar{\lambda}}\right), \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^{\times} .
\end{align*}
$$

As a consequence of the assumptions, $\Phi$ extends to a holomorphic function in $\mathbb{C} \backslash\{ \pm \mathrm{i}\}$, which is bounded in a neighborhood of infinity, by inspection of (7.2). The integrability assumption of the theorem says that $\Phi$ is area- $L^{2}$ integrable near $\{ \pm i\}$, so that the singularities at $\pm \mathrm{i}$ are removable. Liouville's theorem tells us that $\Phi$ is constant: $\Phi(\lambda) \equiv c_{0}$. That $c_{0} \geq 0$ follows from

$$
c_{0}=\Phi(1)=J_{n}(1) F(1)=2^{n / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \bar{f}(x) f(x) \mathrm{dvol}_{n}(x)=2^{n / 2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}|f(x)|^{2} \operatorname{dvol}_{n}(x) \geq 0 .
$$

This gives us the first assertion as well as the second. The proof is complete.
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