

Thomas - Stein restriction Theorem

TS 13/06

The restriction problem asks whether it is possible to restrict the Fourier transform of a function to the unit sphere (or any other hypersurface) $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$. I.e., it asks for estimates

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^q(S)} \leq C \|f\|_p \quad \text{with the surface measure } d\sigma \text{ on } S. \quad *$$

And the problem is to decide for which p, q such estimates exist.

The problem is for a large part open, but the 'Restriction conjecture for the sphere' asserts that $*$ holds if and only if

$$p' \geq \frac{n+1}{n-1} q \quad \text{I} \quad \& \quad p < \frac{2n}{n+1} \quad \text{II}$$

Both (I) & (II) are known to be necessary. We will see this for (II) and for (I) it is similar to the very first exercise in the course (1.1(s))

The Thomas - Stein restriction Theorem confirms the conjecture in the case $q=2$, where it works out to

TS 13/06

Theorem (Thomas - Stein) If $1 \leq p \leq 2(n+1)/(n+3)$ then

$$\|\hat{f}\|_{L^2(S^{n-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \quad \forall f \in L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

To prove it, we employ a 'TTⁿ-method' approach - to that end we need a little extra theory about the Fourier transform.

Fourier transforms & measures

Definition Let μ be a measure on \mathbb{R}^n with finite total variation and let $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be Schwartz. Define

• Fourier transform of μ

$$\hat{\mu}: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \hat{\mu}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{-2\pi i x \cdot \xi} d\mu(x)$$

• Convolution of μ & φ

$$\varphi * \mu: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : \varphi * \mu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x-y) d\mu(y)$$

(Both are well-defined & bounded since $\mu(\mathbb{R}^n) < \infty$)

Now we get (using Fubini - Tonelli many times) the following lemmas:

Lemma μ, ν finite variation measures

TS 3/06

φ Schwartz

$$(1) \widehat{\varphi \mu} = \widehat{\varphi} * \mu$$

$$(2) \widehat{\varphi \nu} = \widehat{\varphi} * \widehat{\nu}$$

$$(3) \int \widehat{\mu} d\nu = \int \widehat{\nu} d\mu$$

Corollary μ finite variation measure, $f, g \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$

$$\int \widehat{f} \overline{\widehat{g}} d\mu = \int (\widehat{\mu} * \overline{g}) \cdot f dx \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{g} \rangle_{L^2(\mu)} = \langle f, g * \widehat{\mu} \rangle_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

Now we are ready for the first simplification
— the TTⁿ-method

We need to prove $\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(S^{n-1})} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p(S^{n-1})}$ say $\forall f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, i.e.

$$\int |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) \leq C^2 \|f\|_p^2$$

$$\int |\widehat{f}(\xi)|^2 d\sigma(\xi) = \langle \widehat{f}, \widehat{f} \rangle_{L^2(\sigma)} \stackrel{\text{cor.}}{=} \langle f, \overline{\widehat{\sigma}} * f \rangle = \int f \cdot \overline{\widehat{\sigma}} * \overline{f} dx$$

By Hölder's inequality, it is enough to prove

$$\|\widehat{\sigma} * f\|_{L^p} \leq C \|f\|_{L^p} \quad \text{to obtain such estimates}$$

we need to investigate the decay of $\widehat{\sigma}$.

For this we use the method of stationary

phase

Recall

TS 13/06

Principle of non-stationary phase

$u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ w. $\nabla \varphi \neq 0$ on $\text{supp } u$.

Then $\forall N \in \mathbb{N} \exists C_{N, u, \varphi}$ s.t.

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) \exp(i\lambda \varphi(x)) dx \right| \leq C_{N, u, \varphi} \lambda^{-N}, \quad \lambda > 0$$

Further:

Principle of stationary phase

$u \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R})$ with only one stationary point $x_0 \in \text{supp } u$ which is non-degenerate. Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} u(x) e^{i\lambda \varphi(x)} dx = C_\varphi u(x_0) e^{i\lambda \varphi(x_0)} \lambda^{-n/2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^{-(n+1)/2})$$

as $\lambda \rightarrow \infty$.

Proposition let σ be the surface measure of the unit sphere $S^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Then for $|x| \gg 1$ we have

$$\hat{\sigma}(x) = C \frac{e^{2\pi i|x|^2}}{|x|^{(n-1)/2}} + C \frac{e^{-2\pi i|x|^2}}{|x|^{(n-1)/2}} + \mathcal{O}(|x|^{-n/2})$$

Proof by rotational symmetry of σ , and hence of

$\hat{\sigma}$, it is enough to estimate

$$\hat{\sigma}(\lambda e_n) = \int_{S^{n-1}} e^{-2\pi i \lambda w_n} d\sigma(w)$$

The function $w_n: S^{n-1} \rightarrow [-1, 1]$ is stationary on the north & south poles only, and these are seen to be non-degenerate. If we let ψ_+, ψ_- be C^∞ -cut-off functions supported near the north/south poles respectively, we get

$$\hat{\sigma}(\lambda e_n) = \int_{S^{n-1}} \psi_+(w) e^{-2\pi i \lambda w_n} d\sigma(w) + \int_{S^{n-1}} \psi_-(w) e^{-2\pi i \lambda w_n} d\sigma(w) + \int_{S^{n-1}} (1 - \psi_+(w) - \psi_-(w)) e^{-2\pi i \lambda w_n} d\sigma(w)$$

The contributions for the first two terms are

$$C \psi_+(w) e^{-2\pi i \lambda w_n} \lambda^{-(n-1)/2} \Big|_{w=\pm e_n} + O(\lambda^{-n/2})$$

(the integral is in $n-1$ dimensions) by the principle of stationary phase, and $O(\lambda^{-n/2})$ by the principle of non-stationary phase. Then

$$\hat{\sigma}(\lambda e_n) = C \frac{e^{2\pi i \lambda}}{2\pi i} \lambda^{-(n-1)/2} + C e^{-2\pi i \lambda} \lambda^{-(n-1)/2} + O(\lambda^{-n/2})$$

In particular

$$|\hat{\sigma}(\lambda)| \lesssim |\lambda|^{-(n-1)/2}$$

We are now ready to

attack the theorem in the region $\rho < \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$ (the case $\rho = \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$ is more difficult, and will be dealt with later, if time allows)

Proof ($p < \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$)

TS 13/06

Let $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ be \neq near 0 and define

$\psi_k(x) = \varphi(2^{-k}x) - \varphi(2^{-(k-1)}x)$ (we ψ_k is supported on an 'annulus' $|x| \sim 2^k$). We then have

$$1 = \varphi(x) + \sum_{k \geq 0} \psi_k(x) \quad (\text{for each } x \text{ only finitely many terms are non-zero})$$

Here we get

$$f * \hat{\sigma} = f * (\varphi \hat{\sigma}) + \sum_{k \geq 0} f * (\psi_k \hat{\sigma}), \text{ hence } (\Delta\text{-inequality})$$

$$\|f * \hat{\sigma}\|_p \leq \|f * (\varphi \hat{\sigma})\|_p + \sum_{k \geq 0} \|f * (\psi_k \hat{\sigma})\|_p$$

The first term can be easily handled by e.g. Young's inequality ($\|f * (\psi_k \hat{\sigma})\|_p \leq \|f\|_p \|\psi_k \hat{\sigma}\|_{p/(p-1)}$)

We need something like

$$\|f * (\psi_k \hat{\sigma})\|_p \leq 2^{-ck} \|f\|_p \quad (*) \text{ for some } c > 0 \text{ To get this}$$

we use interpolation $L^1 \rightarrow L^\infty, L^2 \rightarrow L^2$

Since $\|\psi_k \hat{\sigma}\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-(n-1)k/2}$ by the preceding and

the fact that ψ_k is supported on $|x| \sim 2^k$ we

get (From a trivial case of Young's inequality

$r = \infty, p = 1, q = \infty$)

$$\|\psi_k \hat{\sigma}\|_\infty \lesssim 2^{-(n-1)k/2} \quad (\text{constants not depending on } k)$$

For the $L \rightarrow L$ bound note that

$$\|f * g\|_2 \leq \|\hat{g}\|_\infty \|f\|_2 \quad \text{just from Plancherel, Hölder and the fact that } \widehat{f * g} = \hat{f} \hat{g}$$

Hence we need to prove an

TS-13/06

estimate for

$$\|\widehat{\psi_k} \hat{\sigma}\|_\infty = \|\widehat{\psi_k} * \sigma\|_\infty$$

of the Fourier transform \rightarrow we need $\|\widehat{\psi_k} \sigma\|_\infty \lesssim 2^k$

$$\widehat{\psi_k}(x) = 2^{nk} \widehat{\psi_0}(2^k x)$$

Since ψ_0 is Schwartz, $\widehat{\psi_0}$ is Schwartz, and we get

$$|\widehat{\psi_k}(x)| \lesssim \frac{2^{nk}}{(1+2^k|x|)^N}$$

(constants indep of k). By d-ty.

It suffices to show

$$\frac{2^{nk}}{(1+|x|2^k)^N} \|\sigma\|_\infty \lesssim 2^k - \text{this can be done}$$

dividing \mathbb{R}^n into annuli around x with radii $\sim 2^{k+j}$ and summing in j .

Interpolating the $1 \rightarrow \infty$ & $2 \rightarrow 2$ bound with $\Theta = \frac{2}{p}$ gives (*) and we are done. \square

The end point estimate $p = \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$ is more difficult: we need the more sophisticated interpolation theorem "Stein interpolation theorem" where the operator is allowed to depend analytically on the interpolation parameter

$$T_z f = \sum_{k \geq 0} 2^k \frac{(n-1)(z - \frac{k-1}{n-1})^k}{z} f * (\psi_k \hat{\sigma})$$

By the Stein interpolation theorem

TS 13/06

it suffices to prove

$$\left\| \sum_{k>0} 2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} + it \rfloor k} f * (\nu_k \hat{\sigma}) \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim \|f\|_1 \quad (\heartsuit) \text{ and}$$

$$\left\| \sum_{k>0} 2^{\lfloor -1 + it \rfloor k} f * (\nu_k \hat{\sigma}) \right\|_2 \lesssim \|f\|_2 \quad (\heartsuit \heartsuit)$$

(\heartsuit) is easy, since it suffices to show that

$$\left\| \sum_{k>0} 2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} + it \rfloor k} \nu_k \hat{\sigma} \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim 1 \text{ which follows from the}$$

fact that $\sum_{k>0} 2^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} + it \rfloor k} \nu_k(x) = \mathcal{O}(|x|^{n-1/2})$ by construction

of the ν_k 's and the decay estimate of $\hat{\sigma}$.

For ($\heartsuit \heartsuit$) as in the previous proof we need to prove

$$\left\| \sum_{k>0} 2^{\lfloor -1 + it \rfloor k} \hat{\nu}_k * \sigma \right\|_{\infty} \lesssim 1 \text{ — here we finally give in}$$

to the δ -triangle inequality and prove that

$$\sum 2^k \|\hat{\nu}_k * \sigma\|_{\infty} \lesssim 1.$$

Note how the estimate $\|\nu_k * \sigma\|_{\infty} \lesssim 2^k$ does not suffice

here. we prove

$$|\hat{\nu}_k * \sigma(x)| \lesssim \begin{cases} 2^k & (2^k d(x,S))^{-N} \quad d(x,S) \gg 2^k \\ 1 + 2^{2k} & d(x,S) \leq 2^k \end{cases}$$

— δ —

The first one can be seen in the same way as the estimate in the last proof, decomposing the sphere into "annular" regions $d(x, w) \sim 2^j d(x, S)$, using the Schwartz estimate

$$\hat{\Psi}_k(x) \leq \frac{2^{nk}}{(1+2^k|x|)^N} \quad (*)$$

and summing in j . The difficult case is $d(x, S) \leq 2^{-k}$, where (*) is not very good.

We first observe that

$$|\nabla(\hat{\Psi}_k * \sigma)(x)| \leq 2^{2k} \quad \text{since}$$

$$\nabla(\hat{\Psi}_k * \sigma)(x) = 2^k (2^{-k} \nabla \hat{\Psi}_k) * \sigma(x) \quad \text{and}$$

$2^k \nabla \hat{\Psi}_k$ satisfies (*) as well (with another implied constant).

From the fundamental theorem of calculus, it suffices to prove (8.8) on the unit sphere.

By rotational symmetry take $x = e_1$ - that is, we need

$$\left| \int_{S^{n-1}} \hat{\Psi}_k(e_1 - w) d\sigma(w) \right| = O(1).$$

For $|e_1 - w|$ bounded away from 0 we can use (*) again - so we only need a region $|e_1 - w| \ll 1$

- here we can parametrize w as

$$w = (\underline{w}, (1-|\underline{w}|^2)^{1/2}), \quad |\underline{w}| \ll 1$$

The integral is now

□

$$\int_{|w| \ll 1} \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, 1 - (1 - |w|^2)^{1/2}) g(w) d\underline{w} = \int_{|w| \ll 1} \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) d\underline{w}$$

By (*) the contribution of $\int_{|w| \gg 1} \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) d\underline{w}$ is $\mathcal{O}(1)$

Here we may estimate

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) (1 + \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) d\underline{w} \quad \text{— we now prove that this is}$$

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, 0) d\underline{w} + \mathcal{O}(1) \quad \text{— If this is the case}$$

we can just choose the cutoff-function such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{\chi}_0(\underline{w}, 0) d\underline{w} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n-1}} \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, 0) d\underline{w} = 0 \quad \text{and we would be done.}$$

To prove it, use Taylor's formula to get

$$\tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, \mathcal{O}(|w|^2)) = \tilde{\chi}_k(\underline{w}, 0) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{2^{kn+1} |w|^2}{(1 + 2^k |w|)^N}\right)$$

(since $2^k \nabla \tilde{\chi}_k$ satisfies (*)) = the discrepancy

between our two terms is

$$\int \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{2^{kn+1} |w|^2}{(1 + 2^k |w|)^N}\right) d\underline{w} \quad \text{which can be}$$

calculated directly to be $\mathcal{O}(1)$ (choos. $N=4$ e.g.)

This completes the proof.